

Minutes of the meeting of the
Spelthorne LOCAL COMMITTEE
held at 6.30 pm on 28 September 2015
at Council Offices, Knowle Green, Staines-upon-Thames. TW18 1XB.

Surrey County Council Members:

- * Mrs Denise Saliagopoulos (Chairman)
- * Mr Tim Evans (Vice-Chairman)
- * Mr Ian Beardsmore
- Mrs Carol Coleman
- * Mr Robert Evans
- * Mr Daniel Jenkins
- * Mr Richard Walsh

Borough / District Members:

- * Cllr Kevin Flurry
- Cllr Chris Frazer
- * Cllr Ian Harvey
- Cllr Daxa Patel
- Cllr Joanne Sexton
- * Cllr Richard Smith-Ainsley
- * Cllr Robert Watts

* In attendance

16/15 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS [Item 1]

Apologies were received from Cllr Joanne Sexton, Cllr Daxa Patel and Cllr Chris Frazer. Cllr Vivienne Leighton and Cllr Sinead Mooney were present as substitutes.

17/15 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 2]

The minutes from the meeting of 27 July were agreed to be a true record.

18/15 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

No declarations of interest were received.

19/15 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS [Item 4]

The Chairman advised that the meeting was being filmed for training purposes. She requested members of the public give feedback on their experience of attending the Local Committee and welcomed Liz Bowes, Chair of the Woking Joint Committee. She also explained that the expected update on Project Horizon was not available for this meeting but would be presented at a future session.

20/15 PETITIONS & LETTERS OF REPRESENTATION [Item 5]

Two petitions were presented by residents against the proposed closure of Walton Lane.

Lisa Rollin from Russell Road presented an online petition of 38 signatures and referred to an additional 93 signatures that she had collected from members of the public outside Budgens on the High Street. She would favour a holistic approach to looking at traffic in Shepperton in general.

Mr Barnard (appointed to speak by petitioner Mr Portch) presented a petition signed by the residents of Thames Meadow, who had all been in favour. There was a total of 77 signatures. There had been concern that residents had not initially been informed of the proposal even though they would have been the most affected, making it more difficult for them to access Shepperton.

A response to the petitions was included in the officer report for item 8 and the Chairman invited both speakers to remain for that item.

21/15 PETITION RESPONSE [Item 5a]

Mr Ted Rodgers received a response to the petition he presented at the meeting of 27 July 2015 calling for traffic calming measures and a reduction in the speed limit on Towpath, Shepperton.

Main points of discussion:

NH explained that any work would require funding and would depend on the decisions taken by members in item 9, on how to allocate the highways budget for 2015-16.

Cllr Leighton wanted it noted that there was an error under 1.3 of the report where parking referred to as 'private' in fact belonged to Spelthorne Borough Council who in turn leased it to the public. She stressed that the problem was a lack of consideration shown by motorists driving past the parked cars, which are often parked at right angles due to being larger vehicles.

Cllr Leighton suggested that through 'localism' there may be a way of finding a low cost solution and knew of suitably experienced SBC officers who are willing to do a design.

Mr Walsh suggested that such an approach could also be used to solve problems in other areas but wanted to know where the report left the petitioner and was referred again by NH to the decision to be taken in item 9.

Cllr Watts suggested that the borough councillors could contribute from their community grant funding.

NH stressed that a feasibility study could not be delivered by December but Cllr Leighton insisted that it could, if it was carried out by borough officers. NH confirmed he would be happy to work with SBC officers.

22/15 MEMBER QUESTION TIME [Item 6]

Officers in attendance:
Nick Healey (NH), Area Highway Manager (NE)

Cllr Robert Watts submitted a written question and received a response in advance of the meeting (attached).

As a supplementary he wanted to know when the recommendations highlighted in the safety audit would be completed. NH advised him that these would be done at the earliest opportunity and Mr Walsh confirmed he would be working with Cllr Watts to push for the installation of the toucan crossing but that funding of about £90,000 was required.

Cllr Smith-Ainsley wanted to know why the design was signed off if it was considered dangerous and Mr Walsh suggested that it had been designed to have traffic lights, but that the money had run out. NH explained that the original design had not included a Toucan crossing and it was removed to save costs, but would be installed at the earliest opportunity.

.....

Mr Robert Evans had submitted a written question and received a response in advance of the meeting (attached).

He again stressed his view that there was a lack of communication and co-operation between Surrey County Council and Spelthorne Borough Council but the Chairman insisted that there had been definite progress to move away from a 'them and us' approach.

Cllr Watts referred to the flooding on Clare Road in Stanwell and said he was waiting to hear when the drains were last cleared out. NH explained that every gully is cleaned once a year but that the soakaways only have a certain capacity. Cllr Flurry asked whether the soakaways were still in their life period and wanted to know whether there was a programme for renewal. NH explained that there was only a programme for cleaning but not for renewal.

23/15 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME [Item 7]

Mr McLuskey submitted two written questions and received responses in advance of the meeting (attached).

24/15 WALTON LANE (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION) [Item 8]

Officers in attendance:
Nick Healey (NH), Area Highway Manager (NE)

Declarations of Interest:
None

Main points of discussion:

Mr Walsh explained that he had moved to raise the issue following representations from Bagster House and a resident of Walton Lane as drivers had been observed driving the wrong way down the one way section of the highway. He suggested that making it a cul de sac would solve the problem, a proposal that was also favoured by DI Alan Sproston who was in attendance.

However, the support for the two petitions presented under item 5 had made clear the opinions of residents on this issue. The Chairman underlined the importance of listening to what they have said but also stressed that action should be taken against drivers who do not comply.

Cllr Watts suggested that the positioning and size of the 'No Entry' signs might be adding to the confusion and NH agreed that an officer would go out and check. He expressed his view that there was sufficient information out there to properly inform drivers and that it was impossible to police motorists' behaviour.

The Local Committee (Spelthorne) resolved to agree to:

- (i) Defer indefinitely the suggestion to close Walton Lane, Shepperton, to vehicular traffic between its junctions with Walton Bridge Road and Russell Road.

Reasons:

Closing Walton Lane to vehicular traffic would transfer trips onto Marshalls Roundabout, which already suffers congestion during peak periods. There is no pattern of Personal Injury Collisions in the subject section of Walton Lane that would be ameliorated by the suggested closure. The local community are opposed to the suggested closure.

25/15 HIGHWAYS UPDATE (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION) [Item 9]

Officers in attendance:

Nick Healey (NH) Area Highway Manager (North East)

Declarations of interest:

None

Main points of discussion:

With reference to 2.9 of the report Cllr Watts raised on behalf of Ashford Town councillors the issue of holidaymakers and commuters parking in residential roads (in particular Woodthorpe Road) rather than using the car park at Ashford station. He explained that the matter had been discussed with Jack Roberts and Mrs Carole Coleman (divisional member). Cllr Watts would like a survey to go ahead and have a timeline for carrying it out.

NH explained that any survey would have to be funded and the local committee decision on how to allocate the highways' budget would directly affect this.

NH informed members that £2 million had been taken out of the capital budget and that there was likely to be a similar reduction next year, which should be planned for.

Cllr Harvey expressed his preference to pooling the monies and spending it on one item. Mr Beardsmore wanted to use the budget on improving the A308 and had already discussed pooling funding with Mrs Coleman for that purpose.

Mr Jenkins expressed his preference for option A as it was important to be able to deliver on what his residents want, but said that members should still be able to work together.

Mr Walsh moved that option A should be the preferred choice and this was seconded by Cllr Smith-Ainsley. The motion was carried with 9 votes.

NH reminded members he would be asking them to notify him by December of the schemes they want to finance and that if he did not receive these by the deadline, he would work with the Chairman to decide on which works would be funded.

The Local Committee (Spelthorne) agreed to:

- (ii) Authorise the Area Highway Manager in consultation with the Chairman, Vice Chairman and relevant Divisional Member(s), to prioritise schemes as necessary to ensure the remainder of this Financial Year's budgets are fully invested in the road network in Spelthorne (paragraph 2.6 refers).

And

- (iii) **Decided its preferred option for allocation of next Financial Year's budgets to be option A detailed in Annex C**

And agreed to

- (iv) Authorise the Area Highway Manager in consultation with the Chairman, Vice Chairman and relevant Divisional Member(s) to undertake all necessary procedures to deliver the agreed programmes.

Reason:

To facilitate delivery of the 2015-16 Highways programmes funded by the Local Committee and to facilitate development of Committee's 2016-17 Highways programmes, while at the same time ensuring that the Chairman, Vice Chairman and relevant Divisional Members are fully and appropriately involved in any detailed considerations.

26/15 ECO PARK UPDATE (SERVICE MONITORING & ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 10]

Officers in attendance:

Richard Parkinson (RP), Waste Operations Group Manager

Mr Mike Goodman, SCC Cabinet Member for Environment and Planning

Declarations of interest:
None

Main points of discussion:

The Chairman made it clear that questions should only be on the update report submitted for the meeting.

RP gave a brief summary of the ongoing works at the site. In order to counter some of the disruption to current operations with some bays temporarily shut off, the opening hours had been extended. He confirmed that with regard to concerns about air pollution, in addition to monitoring devices on the stack which would provide continuous monitoring, the Cabinet Member for the Environment and Planning had agreed to an offsite monitoring station for measuring nitrogen oxide and particulates. The location for this and a power supply were yet to be decided on.

Cllr Smith-Ainsley believed that the foundation piling work had overrun and RP conceded that this had the case and that SITA had been 'too optimistic' but had learnt their lesson. The borough councillor also expressed his dissatisfaction with the drop in sessions that had been held, as the chosen venue on the first floor of the golf club opposite the site presented access issues for members of the public. He also raised the issue of some planning conditions having been ignored. He highlighted the dust baseline assessment which he said had only been carried out once the Spelthorne Borough Environmental Health team had got involved and that some Freedom of Information requests had not been answered in time. Furthermore the residents of his ward in Charlton Village had not benefitted from the project.

RP explained that they had chosen a venue near to the Charlton Lane site but would bear in mind the points raised for future meetings. He explained that the planning conditions had now been carried out and that residents would benefit from a reduction in local traffic once the new facilities were fully operational.

It was agreed that the provision of online monitoring data was important to reassure the public and that confidence in the information provided was paramount, but its usefulness was questioned. Mr Tim Evans commented that once the stack was working the monitoring station would not pick up any readings. RP explained that it was a requirement of the Environment Agency to have monitoring on the stack and sets limits to determine compliance but it is possible that emissions might be below the level to be detected.

Mr Tim Evans acknowledged that there was a large amount of information available to the public and questioned the need for frequent updates and the Chairman asked to have another report to be brought to the local committee in the new year.

The Local Committee (Spelthorne) agreed to

- i. Note the contents of the report.

27/15 SURREY CIVILIAN-MILITARY PARTNERSHIP BOARD UPDATE (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 11]

In attendance:

Canon Peter Bruinvels, Surrey Civilian-Military Liaison Advisor

Cllr Robin Sider, Armed Forces Champion (Spelthorne)

Main points of discussion:

Mr Walsh expressed his support for the work done by the Surrey Civilian-Military Partnership but added that it was difficult to contribute extra in view of the pressure on the Adult Social Care and Children's Services budgets. He highlighted the large amounts of money held by the regiments and other organisations such as the Royal British Legion and stressed that it was important to know that some of these funds would follow ex-servicemen moving into the civilian communities.

Canon Bruinvels agreed with this point and advised that he had recently addressed a group of Adult Social Care managers in this regard where he had explained that those over the age of 71 who had served in the Armed Forces should be eligible for help through the RBL.

Mr Walsh stressed the need for the partnership to link with the Family, Friends and Communities programme and the Chairman suggested that it might be of interest to invite a serviceman who has been helped by the SCMP, to attend a future Local Committee meeting.

Mr Tim Evans wanted the Local Committee not just to note the contents of the report, but also publicly acknowledge the good work that has been done by the SCMP and proposed a change of recommendation, which was seconded by Cllr Ian Harvey.

The Chairman, on behalf of the Local Committee, thanked Canon Bruinvels and Cllr Sider for taking the time to come to the Committee and said it was much appreciated.

The Local Committee (Spelthorne) agreed to:

- (i) Note the contents of this report and to applaud the good work that has been done by the Surrey Civilian-Military Partnership Board.**

Reason:

To receive an information report and to publicly acknowledge the work done by the partnership.

28/15 SPELTHORNE JOINT ENFORCEMENT TEAM (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 12]

Officers in attendance:

None

Declarations of interest:

None

Main points of discussion:

Spelthorne Borough Council officer Keith McGroary was not in attendance, but members praised his report and Mr Walsh expressed his satisfaction of how the pilot scheme was going and that he hoped that the model would be implemented across the county.

The Local Committee (Spelthorne) agreed to note the contents of the report for information.

29/15 CHANGES TO THE COMMUNITY YOUTH WORK SERVICE (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION) [Item 13]

Officers in attendance:

Jeremy Crouch, Contract Performance Officer Youth Work
Sara Lovegrove, Senior Practitioner for CYWS in Spelthorne

Declarations of interest:

None

Main points of discussion:

Cllr Watts wanted to know how the 11% reduction in Community Youth Work compared to cuts in other county services. Jeremy Crouch (JC) explained that it was comparable with savings in other areas and that through efficiencies the actual reduction in face to face services was only 9%.

In his capacity as chairman of the Youth Task Group Mr Walsh congratulated the officers in performing a difficult juggling act and acknowledged the importance of directing resources where they were most needed. He suggested that other parties such as residents' groups and the police could make a useful contribution to this work and encouraged other councillors to get involved in their areas. His comments were endorsed by Mr Beardsmore.

Cllr Mooney queried whether any of the youth centres in the borough would be without provision and JC confirmed that all SCC owned centres would run with some services provided.

The Chairman raised the issue that at Ashford there would no hours of targeted projects and JC explained that they will be delivering two evening 'drop in' style sessions but might in the future offer more, if additional funding was secured or partnership working was set up.

The Local Committee (Spelthorne) resolved to agree:

- (v) The proposals set out in 3.1 as formal guidance for the Community Youth Work Service.

Reasons:

These changes are designed to enable the Community Youth Work Service (CYWS) to better support the Council's strategic goal of employability for young people, implement a County Council Cabinet steer to allocate more resources to the areas of greatest need and respond positively to an overall funding reduction of 11% for Community Youth Work across Surrey.

30/15 SERVICES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT (SERVICE MONITORING & ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 14]

Officers in attendance:

Matt Raleigh, Youth Support Services Team Manager, Spelthorne
Jeremy Crouch, Contract Performance Officer Youth Work

Declarations of Interest:

None

Main discussion points:

Cllr Leighton queried the accuracy of the figures in the table for Shepperton Youth Centre as it was noted in the report that there had been data recording issues. Jeremy Crouch explained that this had been due to two different methods being used for collecting information i.e. an electronic process using an 'app' which did not work very well and paper records.

Mr Jenkins wanted to know about the number of apprenticeships available and what links the youth service had with secondary schools. Matt Raleigh (MR) confirmed that these did exist and that year 11-12 pupils at risk of becoming NEET were supported to the end of their schooling and just beyond. He also explained that the number of apprenticeships was not necessarily an issue but the calibre can be, as one of the service's challenges was to ensure candidates were working to at least level 2 in order to qualify.

Cllr Leighton further queried the data given in the report relating to Shepperton Youth Centre and asked MR to investigate and wanted it noted that the report contained unreliable information. Mr Walsh felt that a representative from Lifetrain should have been present and the Chairman suggested a meeting between herself, Mr Walsh and Cllr Leighton to discuss the situation. She also suggested that MR might be asked to attend the December meeting or provide the Committee with further information.

The Local Committee (Spelthorne) agreed to note:

- i. How Services for Young People has supported young people to be employable during 2014/15, as set out in the appendix to the report.

31/15 LOCAL COMMITTEE DECISION TRACKER [Item 15]

Mr Walsh asked that the 'decision tracker' be moved up the agenda at the next meeting, so that Highways' officers would be present to answer queries.

32/15 FORWARD PROGRAMME 2015/16 [Item 16]

It was agreed that the following be added to the Forward Plan:

- A revised annual performance report from Services for Young People.
- Moor Lane (railway line)

33/15 DATE OF NEXT MEETING [Item 17]

The chairman confirmed that the date of the next formal meeting was scheduled for Monday 07 December at 6.30pm.

Meeting ended at: 10.10 pm

Chairman



SURREY

SCC LOCAL COMMITTEE IN SPELTHORNE – 28 September 2015

AGENDA ITEM 6

MEMBER WRITTEN QUESTION TIME

1. Cllr Robert Watts will ask the following question:

“Regarding the A244 Walton Bridge/Gaston Bridge Road cycle facilities:

- i) Have all the safety problems highlighted in the stage 3 safety audit been completed to the satisfaction of Surrey County Council?
- ii) If not please provide a list, with time scales, and any designers’ reasons for non completion of safety queries?
- iii) Total cost of scheme in Spelthorne and amount paid to date?
- iv) What is the defects liability period and has that yet started?
- v) Any survey data to show increased cycle use bearing in mind the large cost of the scheme?”

Mr John Furey, SCC Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Flooding, Surrey County Councillor - Addlestone, will give the following answer:

“On the Spelthorne side of Walton Bridge all items raised in the Road Safety Audit Stage 3, where the recommendations made by the Auditors have been agreed with by the project team, they have been completed by the contractor on site. The only exception to this is the recommendation for the Toucan crossing along the A244 Gaston Bridge Road near Gaston Way which is currently in the detailed design stage with construction of the crossing scheduled for the next financial year. Although all of the Safety Audit works has just been completed, barring the above mentioned Toucan crossing, these still need to be inspected which is scheduled to take place later this week.

The final account for the cycle scheme is still to be determined with Surrey County Council Officers and representatives from the contractor working towards agreeing a final account. However to date the agreed construction costs paid for the Spelthorne side of the scheme is £336,000.

The defect liability under the contract is 24 months from the final completion date. As the scheme has not been fully completed with a small number of outstanding items of work on the Walton side, the final completion has not been signed and so the defect liability period has not started as yet.

No monitoring of cycle usage of the new facility has taken place due to the entire scheme not being complete. Once all construction works have been finished the proposal is to install a number of automatic cycle counters along the entire route including two on the Spelthorne side to monitor cyclist numbers on a continuous basis. These counters will be installed in the footway immediately outside Windmill

Terrace and on the footway on the opposite side of the road, and also on both footways and in the channel line of the carriageway between the new roundabout at Walton Lane and the western end of the new Walton Bridge.

With regard to the cafe/toilet building constructed as part of the Walton Bridge project, the remedial works to address the outstanding issues began on Monday 14 September and are scheduled for completion by the end of next week although the contractor is confident of completing these works earlier subject to weather and operational conditions. Once the works have been completed a joint inspection between ourselves, our appointed Building Inspector, Elmbridge Borough Council and the contractor will take place which is tentatively pencilled in for late next week subject to when the remedial works are completed.

Upon the completion of these works to the satisfaction of both councils the aim will be to open the toilet facilities on a permanent basis by the end of September which will allow the temporary facilities to be removed. The completion of these outstanding issues will also permit the commencement of the fitting out of the new cafe facility by the new tenant with a view to opening the new facility in due course.”

2. Mr Robert Evans will ask the following question:

"Bearing in mind the major flooding last Spring and the lesser occurrences at other times (e.g. Stanwell, August 2015), what measures have been put in place to lessen the impact of any similar occurrence?"

Residents in the past have complained about many aspects including eg the lack of co-ordination between the two councils, 'buck-passing', the lack of a dedicated help line and the non availability of sandbags."

Doug Hill, SCC Strategic Network Resilience Manager, will give the following answer:

"Following the major flooding last spring a significant amount of multi-agency work has been undertaken to lessen the impact of any similar occurrences.

Improved working between partners on emergency response

- There have been a number of positive discussions at the Local Resilience Forum (LRF) Delivery Group regarding the new arrangements for emergency planning in Spelthorne. At the meeting of this group on the 14.07.2015, it was agreed that either the Borough or Applied Resilience would provide an overview of the new arrangements and how this will fit with existing processes. The Head of Emergency Management at Surrey County Council (SCC) and either the Borough representative and/or Managing Director of Applied Resilience are due to meet in the near future in order to agree how these new arrangements will work in practice.
- Since February 2014 all of Surrey's flood risk management partners (including the EA, Thames Water and other utility companies) have engaged in much closer multi-agency working. This has included the identification of flood risks and the implementation of improved asset management and decision making processes.

Thames Major Incident Plan

The Lower Thames Major Incident Plan has been signed off by the Surrey LRF partners, and further work is now underway to support the arrangements outlined in the plan. The two key areas of work at present are:

1. Upgrading the resources for responders at Chertsey Fire Station to provide a forward control point to assist the partnership response;
2. Ensuring that response arrangements are adapted to the principle of the National Joint Emergency Services Interoperability Principles (which is seen as a key means to improve the coordination and communication in the response to emergencies).

Completion of these two workstreams will further improve joint working between partners in an emergency event.

Availability of sandbags

- It is worth noting that there is no statutory requirement for Local Authorities to provide sandbags to residents. However, the local authorities within Surrey recognise that there are vulnerable people and premises that that may require them during a flooding incident. To this end a sandbag protocol has been agreed whereby SCC will hold a strategic stock of sandbags which will be used to support Districts and Boroughs in the event of future flooding. (We are happy to provide a copy of the sandbag protocol should further information be required).
- SBC has reviewed its own sandbag policy to ensure requests for sandbags are handled as efficiently as possible. SBC are also reviewing how they can link in with community flood groups in the distribution of sandbags. However, with so many properties at risk of potential flooding, with very real risks and hazards associated with their distribution and with their limited effectiveness, there is a limit to the number of sandbags that can be delivered and used in defending against flooding.

Dedicated helpline and community resilience

- SBC is making use of the EA's extended flood line service which will assist in ensuring residents calls relating to flooding are promptly answered, even during peak times, and that residents are given the latest and best information relating to flooding from flooding specialists.
- The Borough Council has distributed an information booklet to all properties within the 1 in 20 flood zone giving key information on roles and responsibilities, contact numbers, advice relating to flooding, flood warnings, recovery, and updates from agencies in response to the flooding (including information on maintenance and the River Thames Scheme).
- Spelthorne Borough Council along with SCC and the EA are working hard to engage local residents in community resilience efforts to better link up responses during any future flooding. SCC has also recruited a Community Resilience Officer, whose role is to equip local communities to become more self-sufficient

during emergency events, and assist them in establishing flood fora and networks of flood wardens.

- 77 applications for the County Council-administered Repair and Renew Grant were approved in Spelthorne. These residents received a total of £412,705 in Central Government funding which has been used to finance flood alleviation measures for individual homes.”

AGENDA ITEM 7

PUBLIC WRITTEN QUESTION TIME

1. Mr Andrew McLuskey will ask the following question:

“Given that Surrey’s children’s safeguarding organisation has been recently criticised by OFSTED for not being rigorous enough and given recent memories of the abuse of girls at Duncroft School in Staines, how does the Committee intend to ensure - going forward - the safety of children in Spelthorne?”

Linda Kemeny, SCC Cabinet Member for Schools, Skills, and Educational Achievement, Surrey County Councillor - Woking South West, will give the following answer:

“Children's social care does not fall within the remit of the local committees. However, protecting vulnerable children and young people is our top priority. We will work with partners to achieve this, making significant changes to improve how we work and the services we provide.”

2. Mr Andrew McLuskey will ask the following question:

“Can the Chair inform us of what progress has been made with regard to the return of the land round Stanwell Quarry to its original green lung, recreational status – this of course following the Surrey County Council planning application SCC ref 2014/0005 (now approved) which referred to ‘the continuation of..phased restoration of the whole site.’ after its temporary use for gravel raising?”

Stephen Jenkins, SCC Deputy Planning Development Manager, will give the following answer:

“In March 2015 Alan Stones (Planning Development Team Manager) provided the following response:

'Planning permission for mineral working at Stanwell Quarry was first granted in 1964. The majority of the site has been worked and restored. Planning permission for waste recycling (Ref. SP08/0337) with an amended restoration scheme was granted planning permission in October 2011 and was subject to a legal agreement which secured a long term management arrangement for the site. A planning application (SCC Ref 2014/0005) was submitted in January 2014 to reorder the phasing of restoration at the site without changing the end date for final restoration of October 2017. The issue of a decision notice for the latter planning application is dependant on completing a deed of variation to the previous legal agreement and this matter is in progress.

The approved restoration is for the majority of the land to be used for agriculture, with some wetland and woodland planting and management to the south. The approved restoration complies with planning policy in that it seeks to reinstate the previous land use which is compatible with the Green Belt designation. There is no formal element of recreation. Any future planning application to the County Planning Authority would be treated on its merits in accordance with Development Plan policy and any relevant material planning considerations.'

In terms of progress, no decision has been issued on the application as we are still awaiting completion of a deed of variation (DoV) to the previous legal agreement, and the matter is being progressed between SCC legal department and the applicant's solicitors. For the purposes of clarity, the approved restoration of Stanwell Quarry is predominantly agriculture, with some wetland and woodland planting, with no public access."